UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,577	11/24/2003	Lars Christian Fabricius	000035-060	1227	
21839 BUCHANAN,	7590 08/21/200' INGERSOLL & ROON		EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	FORD, JOHN K			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3744	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/718,577	FABRICIUS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner -	Art Unit	
	John K. Ford	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this interpretation. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this interpretation. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whi	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 August 2007. A brit the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office to of the final rejection, et a must be filed within the control of the filed within the control of the filed within the	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, two months of
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejudent	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).,
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	P

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other.____.

Application No. 10/718,577

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is unconvincing. Applicant's functional language in regard to the valve in the bypass conduit has been treated consistent with MPEP 2114, a fact counsel fails to address in his remarks. Functional recitations of the intended use of a structure are not structure. While the heat exchangers of Dumser may not be illustrated in the drawings, one cannot give the reference an honest read without acknowledging their existence and their connection to ports 5 and 6 in Dumser. The flow rate indicators are clearly disclosed at 7 and illustrated in great detail in Figure 3 of Dumser. The bypass and valve are clearly disclosed by Morgan and Sueyoshi, notwithstanding applicant's remarks to the contrary. Finally, counsel admits that applicant's isolating valve 21 (page 13, last paragraph, of the August 13, 2007 response) is a simple on-off valve. That on-off valve is disclosed in the bypass conduit each of Morgan and Sueyoshi.